Photochemical Dimerization Modes of 9-Methoxyanthracenes and 9-(2-Hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene

Hans-Dieter Becker^{*} and Vratislav Langer

Departments *of* Organic and Inorganic Chemistry, Chalmers University *of* Technology and University *of* Gothenburg, *s-412* 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

Received April *5,* **I993**

Irradiation of 9-methoxyanthracene in ether solution at room temperature gives, in a ratio of about 5545, head-to-tail and head-to-head substituted dianthracenes, which have been isolated by colum chromatography on silica gel. Photochemical dimerization of **9-methoxy-10-methylanthracene** afforded the known centrosymmetrical $4\pi + 4\pi$ cycloaddition product. By contrast, photoexcitation of **9-methoxy-10-methylanthracene** in the presence of 9-methoxyanthracene results mainly in the formation of the two possible heterodimers by $4\pi + 4\pi$ cycloaddition. Photochemical head-to-tail dimerization of **9-(2-hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene** gives two diastereomeric dianthracenes which, due to their rotationally blocked 2-hydroxy-2-propyl substituents, are characterized by *i*- and C₂symmetry, respectively. Deviations from planarity of the aromatic π -system in crystalline 9-methoxy-, 9-methoxy-10-methyl-, and **9-(2-hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene,** and the effects of substituents on the molecular topology of various dianthracenes, have been evaluated by X-ray diffraction.

Introduction

Photoexcitation of meso-substituted anthracenes **1** in solution typically leads to head-to-tail dimers **2** by intermolecular $4\pi + 4\pi$ cycloaddition, though evidence for the concomitant formation of thermally more labile head-to-head dimers **3** has been obtained in some instances by 1H **NMR** analysis of crude photoproducts.' Likewise, head-to-head substituted "heterodimers", deriving from two different 9-substituted anthracenes, have rarely been isolated because of their instability under ambient con $ditions.^{1,2}$

Spatially demanding substitution of the anthracene skeleton, which causes the aromatic π -system to deviate from planarity, may impair **or** preclude the photochemical formation of dianthracenes in favor of unimolecular photochemistry.3 **In** particular, the thermally reversible formation of 9,10-(Dewar anthracenes) by photochemical valence isomerization of geometrically distorted anthracenes such **as** 9-tert-butylanthracene has been studied $extensive.4-6$

Some years ago, irradiation of 9-methoxyanthracene **(4)** was suggested to give 9-methoxy-9,lO-(Dewar anthracene) **(5).'** Unfortunately, this isomerization was rationalized in the belief that 9-methoxyanthracene does not dimerize photochemically, though irradiation of **4** had earlier been described to give a dimethoxy-substituted dianthracene.⁸ The assumed photochemical formation of 5 also is surprising from a topological point of view, since an X-ray diffraction analysis of crystalline 9-methoxyanthracene had revealed that its aromatic π -system only slightly deviated from planarity.⁹

Our interest in the relationship between molecular topology and excited-state reactivity of anthracene derivatives¹⁰ has prompted us to reinvestigate the structure and photochemistry of 9-methoxyanthracene. Moreover, we have investigated the structures and photochemical

(7) **Schoof, S.; Güsten, H.** *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* **1989, 93, 864-870. The formation of 9-methoxy-9,10-(Dewar anthracene) was** deduced solely from the observation that the photochemical disappearance
of 9-methoxyanthracene was thermally reversible. Any experimental **details** about the thermal regeneration of 9-methoxyanthracene were **not** reported. The statement that 9-methoxyanthacene is "a member of photostable anthracene derivatives" is incorrect insofar as it applies only to the crystalline **state.**

(8) (a) Lalande, R.; Calas, R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1959**, 766-770. (b) Lalande, R.; Calas, R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1960**, **144-147.** (c) A quantum Lalande, R.; Calas, R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1960,144-147.** (c) A quantum yield of **0.10 has been** estimated for **the** photochemical dimerization of 9-methoxyanthracene (0.01 M) in benzene solution: Castellan, A. C. *R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.* **C 1975,281,221-224.** See **also:** Vember, T. M.; Veselova, T. V.; Obyknovennaya, I. E.; Cherkasov, A. S.; Shirokov, V. I. Bull. Acad. Sc. USSR, Phys. Ser. (Engl.) **1973**, 37, 133-136.

(9) Bart, J. **C.** J.; Schmidt, G. M. J. *Isr.* J. *Chem.* **1971, 9, 429-448.**

⁽¹⁾ For a recent review, **see:** Desvergne, J.-P.; Bow-Laurent, H. Cycloaddition reactions involving **4n** electrons: **(4+4)** cycloaddition reactions between unsaturated conjugated **systems.** In *Photochromiem: Molecules and Systems;* Diur, H., Bow-Lament, H., **Fds.;** Elsevier: Amsterdam, **1990; Chapter 14, pp 561-630.**

(2) (a) Bouas-Laurent, H.; Castellan, A.; Desvergne, J.-P. Pure Appl.

^{(2) (}a) Bouas-Laurent, H.; Castellan, A.; Desvergne, J.-P. Pure Appl.
Chem. 1980, 52, 2633-2648. (b) Castellan, A.; Lapouyade, R.; Bouas-Laurent, H.; Lallemand, J. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 2467-2470. (c)
Berkovic, G. E.; **G.** E.; Ludmer, **2.** J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1982,104,4280-4282.**

⁽³⁾ Becker,H.-D. **Unimolecularphotochemistryofanthracenee.** *Chem. Rev.* **1993,93,145-172.**

⁽⁴⁾ Hart, H.; Ruge, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977, 3143-3146.**

⁽⁵⁾ Meador, M. A.; Hart, H. J. *Org. Chem.* **1989,54,2336-2341.**

⁽⁶⁾ **(a) Güsten, H.; Hart, H. J. Org. Chem. 1868**, 04, 2836–2841.
 (6) (a) Güsten, H.; Mintas, M.; Klasinc, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, **102,79367937.** (b) Dreeskamp, H.; Jahn, B.; Pabst, J. **Z.** Naturforsch. 1981, 36A, 665–668. (c) Jahn, B.; Dreeskamp, H. Z. Naturforsch. 1982, 37A, 376–379. (d) Jahn, B. Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Germany, 1983. (e) Kraljic, I.; Mintas, M.; Klasinc, L.; Ranogajec, F.; Gü Dreeskamp, H. *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* **1984,** 88, **42-47.** (9) Dreeskamp, H.; Kapahnke, P.; Tochtermann, **W.** *Radiat. Phys. Chem.; Int. J. Radiat. Appl. Instrum. Part* **C 1988,32,537-539.**

dimerization of **9-methoxy-10-methylanthracene** (6) and **942-hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene (7).**

Results and Discussion

Substituent Effects on the Molecular Geometry of Anthracenes. The results of the X-ray diffraction analyses of 9-methoxyanthracene **(41,** 9-methoxy-10 methylanthracene (6), and 9-(2-hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene **(7)** in terms of deviations from planarity of the anthracene skeleton *(cf.* Figure 1) are summarized in Table I. For comparison, the corresponding data for 9-tertbutylanthracene,¹¹ and for 1,2-bis(10-methoxy-9-anthryl)ethane **(lo),** have been included. **Also** listed for 4,6, and **10** are the angles by which the methoxy group is twisted out of the plane of the anthracene.

As for 9-methoxyanthracene, its earlier reported⁹ molecular geometry has been verified in the present study with **an** accuracy of present-day standard. The distortion of the anthracene π -system in terms of the folding angle α 1 is negligible (1.4°) by comparison with the corresponding angle of 16.1' observed for 9-tert-butylanthracene. The deviations from planarity in 9,10-disubstituted anthracene 6 and of structurally related centrosymmetric **10** are similar to, or even smaller than, those found in **4.** It is worth noting for both 4,6, and **10** that their methoxy substituents are twisted out of the plane of the anthracene by about **85'** (see Table I). The nearlyorthogonalgroundstate arrangement of the methoxy group with respect to the anthracene plane implies that one face of the anthracene π -system is unimpededly accessible in intermolecular $4\pi + 4\pi$ cycloaddition reactions involving the 9,10positions.

The asymmetric unit of crystalline 9-(2-hydroxy-2 propy1)anthracene **(7)** was found to consist of two independent molecules **(7a/7b).** The central ring of the anthracene moiety is bent about the 9,10-axis by 11.5° and 9.9°, the folding angles α 1 being 13.6° and 11.5° in **7a** and **7b,** respectively. The deviations from planarity of the anthracene π -systems are most obvious from the dihedral angles of 17.5° and 15.3° between the lateral rings in **7a** and **7b.** The existence of two molecules in the asymmetric unit of **7,** with noticeable differences in geometrical distortions, may be indicative of a higher degree of intramolecular flexibility than feasible for 9-tertbutylanthracene.

Figure 1. Definition of **planes and dihedral angles** for **substituted anthracenes.**

Table I. Deviations from Planarity in Substituted Anthracenes in Terms of Dihedral Angles (deg) between Planes (See Figure 1)

compd	R ¹	\mathbf{R}^2	Ar^{1}/Ar^{2}	a/b	$\alpha 1$		α 2 Anthr/OCH ₃
	$C(CH_3)_3$	н	18.8		13.8 16.1 7.0		
7a	$C(CH3)2OH$ H		17.5		11.5 13.6 6.1		
7b	$C(CH3)2OH$ H		15.3	9.9	$11.5\ 5.6$		
4	OCH ₂	н	4.6	1.4	1.4 1.1		83.8
6	OCH ₃	CH ₂	2.5	0.3	1.2 1.1		83.5
10	OCH ₂	CH ₂	0.3	0.7		$0.8\ 0.4$	85.6

Table 11. Pertinent 'E NMR Spectral Data *(6)* **of Dianthracenes in CDCla (Chemical Shifts in ppm downfield** from Me.Si)^{*}

^aChemical shifts of aromatic H typically are in the range of *⁶* **6.7-7.25** *(cf.* **Figure 2).**

Photochemical Reactions. When a solution of 9-methoxyanthracene in ether is irradiated through Pyrex, the consumption of starting material is associated with the formation of two photoproducts, which are distinguishable by TLC on silica gel/dichloromethane by their markedly different *Rf* values of *0.6* and 0.1 (see Experimental Section). Separation and isolation of both products is therefore easily accomplished by flash column chromatography. The photoproducts are formed in a ratio of about 55:45, they are colorless crystalline solids, and both are transparent in the UV spectrum at wavelengths **>300** nm. **As** for their 'H NMR spectra, the presence of one methoxy group and one aliphatic hydrogen per eight aromatic protons characterizes both photoproducts *(cf.* Table 11), but obvious structural differences between the two photoproducts are most notably borne out in the aromatic region by the presence of a downfield doublet at **6** 7.22 (see Figure 2) in the spectrum of the compound at low R_f value.

The photoproduct characterized by the R_f value of 0.6 melts with decomposition between 225 and 250 "C, in fair agreement with the thermal behavior of the previously reported dimethoxy-substituted dianthracene 8,^{8a,b} and we have no reason to doubt that the assigned structure is correct. As for the photoproduct with the R_f value of 0.1, it decomposes upon melting around 115 'C. It appears to be stable in the crystalline state under ambient conditions, but it is labile in solution. When a 5×10^{-5} M solution of the photoproduct in cyclohexane is kept at room temperature, clean and quantitative regeneration of 9-methoxyanthracene, established by UV spectroscopy, occurs within 5 h. We believe, therefore, that this photoproduct is identical with the spectroscopically detected elusive photoproduct suggested to be Dewar anthracene **5.** However, neither can we accept the observed thermal lability as evidence for the existence of **5** nor does

⁽¹⁰⁾ Becker, H.-D. Excited state reactivity and molecular topology relationshipa in chromophorically sybetituted anthracenes. In *Adu. Photochem.;* **Volman, D. H., Hammond, G. S., Gollnick, K., Eds.; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1990; Vol. 15, pp 139-227.**

⁽¹¹⁾ **Angermund, K.; Claus, K. H.; Goddard, R.; Krüger, C.** *Angew.* **Chem.,** *Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985, 24, 237–247.**

Figure 2. 1H NMR **(400 MHz)** spectra of aromatic protons in dianthracenes **8** and **9.**

the now-available ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum support a 9,10-(Dewar anthracene) structure. Rather, the following findings and considerations conclusively show that we are dealing with the head-to-head dimer **9** of 9-methoxyanthracene. Firstly, the mass spectrum obtained by positive FAB reveals the dimeric nature of the photoproduct by the molecular ion peak at *mle* 417 (MH+), **as** well as dimer-derived fragment peaks at 385 (M - OCH₃) and 354 ($M - 20CH_3$). Second, we consider the low R_f value of the photoproduct in dichloromethane to be indicative of the 1,2-dimethoxyethane moiety in **9.** The

assumed planar *8-trans* conformations of the rotationally confined methoxy groups with respect to the photochemically formed *sps-spa* bond derives from the ground-state geometry of parallel aligned pairs of head-to-head oriented 4, and the obaerved strong adsorption of **9** to silica is probably due to hydrogen bonding involving the exposed lone-pair electrons on oxygen. Significantly, the same chromatographic behavior $(R_f = 0.13)$ is exhibited by the related dimethoxy-substituted dianthracene 11, which is formed by intramolecular $4\pi + 4\pi$ cycloaddition of 1,2-

bis(l0-methoxy-9-anthry1)ethane (10). The half-life of 11 in cyclohexane solution at 25 $^{\circ}$ C is 142 min.¹²

Irradiation of **9-methoxy-10-methylanthracene (6)** in ether solution was found to give the previously **known** dianthracene 12,13 whose centrosymmetric structure we have established by X-ray diffraction (see below). TLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture did not indicate the presence of a head-to-head dimer. However, clear-cut evidence for a head-to-head dimethoxy-substituted dianthracene was obtained when we investigated the photochemical addition of **6** to 9-methoxyanthracene. Fortuitously, the electronic adsorption spectrum of $6 (\lambda_{\text{max}})$ 401 nm; ϵ 8700 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; in cyclohexane) is bathochromically shifted by 13 nm relative to that of 4, so **as** to facilitate selective excitation of **6.** By using an aqueous potassium nitrite filter solution, transmission of light absorbed by 9-methoxyanthracene (λ_{max} 388 nm; ϵ 8800 M^{-1} cm⁻¹; in cyclohexane) is negligible. Thus, irradiation of **6** in the presence of **an** equimolar amount of **4** in ether gave the symmetric dianthracene 12 only **as** minor product (about 10% of reacted **6).** The two main products turned

out to be mixed dianthracenes 13 and 14 which, according to 'H NMR analysis, were found in a ratio of about 1:l and whose formation involves both orientationally possible 4π + **47** cycloadditions of photoexcited 9-methoxy-10 **methylanthracenetoground-state9-methoxyanthracene.1h** Again, the detection and separation of head-to-tail and head-to-head dimethoxy-substituted dianthracenes 13 and 14 by flash-column chromatography on silica was facilitated by their characteristically different R_f value of 0.64 and 0.1, respectively. More imporant, it was possible to establish for both isomers their structures by X-ray diffraction and confirm for 14 the *s-trans-s-trans* conformation of the l,2-dimethoxyethane moiety. Pertinent lH NMR spectral data for dianthracenes 12-14 are given in Table 11. Essential topological features of dianthracenes

⁽¹²⁾ Becker, H.-D.; Elebring, T.; Sandroe, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,47, 1064-1068.**

⁽¹³⁾ Calm, R.; Lalande, R. *Bull.* **SOC.** *Chim. h..* **1969, 770-772. (a) Concerning the favored formation of dianthracenee 13 and 14 compared to 12, it ie worth noting that the quantum yield for the dimerization of 9-methoxy-10-methylanthracene (0.01 M) in benzene to give 12 ie only 0.009. See ref** *8c.*

Table 111. Structural Features of Dianthracense: Length of Photochemically Formed Bonds and Dihedral Angles between Aromatic Rings (See Figure 3)

						dihedral angles (deg)			
	\mathbb{R}^1	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	bond length ^a (Å)	A/A'	B/B'	A/B	A'/B'
16a	н	н	н	н	1.624(3)	44.6	44.6	135.4	135.4 ^b
16b	OCHO	CH ₃	OCHO	CH ₃	1.646(4)	42.0	42.0	138.0	138.0°
12	OCH ₃	CH ₃	OCH ₃	CH ₃	1.670(4)	40.6	40.6	139.4	139.4
13	OCH ₃	CH ₃	OCH ₃	H	1.639(2)	41.9	41.9	138.1	138.1
14	OCH ₃	OCH ₃	н	CH ₃	1.657(4)	43.2	43.4	130.7	143.0
					1.637(4)				
15a	$R^1 = R^3 = C(CH_3)_2OH$; $R^2 = R^4 = H$				1.630(3)	50.4	50.4	129.6	129.6
15b	$R^1 = R^3 = C(CH_3)_2OH;$ $R^2 = R^4 = H$				1.615(7)	49.7	58.4	126.9	125.0
					1.618(7)				

*⁰*Eetimated standard deviations in parentheses. *b* From ref 16. **C** From ref 17.

in their crystalline state are summarized in Table I11 and discussed below.

We deemed it worth extending the present investigation to **9-(2-hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene (7),** since we expected its more distorted molecular structure *(cf.* Table I) to be conducive to photochemical valence isomerization. Irradiation of **7** (about **0.001** M in dichloromethane) **was** found to result in virtually complete consumption of starting material and concomitant formation of *two* products. They were separated by column chromatography, and their rather high melting points indicated both photoproducts to be dianthracenes rather than Dewar anthracenes. Unexpectedly, both photoproducts were found to be interconvertible in boiling toluene, and their nearly identical lH **NMR** spectra (see Experimental Section) suggested them to be isomers of very similar structure. Model considerations then led to the conclusion that headto-tail dimerization of 7 by $4\pi + 4\pi$ cycloaddition may give dianthracenes 15a and 15b in which the 2-hydroxy-2-propyl substituents are rotationally blocked,¹⁴ and the structures of both isomers were also established by X-ray diffraction. We are thus dealing with an example of stereoisomerism not previously encountered in dianthracenes, as centrosymmetric $15a$ differs from C_2 symmetric 15b merely with respect to the mutual orientation of the rotationally blocked bridgehead substituents.¹⁵ In view of the formation of dianthracenes 15, the geometrical distortion established for **7** obviously is insufficient to induce photochemical valence isomerization.

Comments on Dianthracene Topology. The molecular geometry of dianthracenes 12-16 in terms of dihedral angles between planes of aromatic rings (see general structure 16) and lengths of photochemically formed bonds **as** revealed by X-ray diffraction are summarized in Table 111. For comparison, the literature data for the parent dianthracene¹⁶ (16a) and the dianthracene 16b¹⁷ derived from **9-(formyloxy)-lO-methylanthracene** have been included. (The crystal structure of the mixed head-to-tail dimer 13 was found to be centrosymmetric because of statistical disorder, characterized by alternating positional occupation of bridgehead hydrogen and methyl groups.)

We deduce from the structural data that bridgehead substitution increases the dihedral angles between the benzene rings A/B and A'/B' from about **135'** in the parent compound 16a to about **139'** in dianthracene 12. The "head-to-head dimer" 14, however, in which the two methoxy groups occupy vicinal bridgehead positions,

⁽¹⁴⁾ Rotational blocking about an sp^3-p^3 bond has previously been observed in $1,6$ -bis(2-cyano-2-propyl)triptycene, which was found to exist in two stereoisomeric forms: **Iwnmura,** H. J. Chem. *SOC., Chem. Commun.* 1973,232. For a review, **Bee: Oki,** M. *Angew. Chem.* 1976,88,67-96.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The poseibility of rotational **isomerism** has recently **been** discussed for diphoephino-substituted dinnthracenes, but the formation of diastereomere **has** not been observed: **(a)** Heuer, L.; Schomburg, D.; Schmutzler, R. Chem. Ber. 1989,122,143-146. **(b)** Wesemann, J.; **Jonea,** P. G.; Schomburg, D.; Heuer, L.; Schmutzler, R. Chem. Ber. 1992,125, 2187-2197.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Choi, **C.** S.; Marinlrae, **P.** L. *Acta Crystallogr.,* Sect. *E* **1980,36,** 2491-2493.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Becker, H.-D.; Skelton, **B. W.;** Turner, A. **B.; White, A.** *H. Aust. J. Chem.* 1988,41,1977-1982.

Figure 3. Schematic presentation of rotameric dianthracenes 15a and 15b. For racemic 15b, only one enantiomer is shown.

assumes a rather distorted molecular geometry, as is evidenced by the corresponding dihedral angles A/B and A'/B' of 130.7° and 143.0°, respectively. Also worth noting concerning the 1,2-dimethoxyethane segment in **14** is the length of 1.657(4) **A** of the photochemically formed carboncarbon single bond.

As for rotameric dianthracenes **15a** and 15b, the results of the X-ray diffraction analysis can be summarized as follows. Dianthracene 15a, characterized by formal *i*symmetry, also is crystallographically centrosymmetric; **Le.,** the asymmetric unit consists of one molecular half. Dianthracene **15b** is formally characterized by a 2-fold axis of symmetry, but this was not found to be the case in the crystalline state. The dihedral angles between aromatic rings in dianthracenes **15a** and **15b** depend on the mutual orientation of the bridgehead-positioned 2-hydroxy-2-propyl groups. In centrosymmetric **15a,** in which methyl and hydroxyl groups are alternatingly phased "between the upper and lower wings" of the dianthracene skeleton, the dihedral angle A/A' (B/B') is 50.4° (see Figure 3). Thus, the steric demand of the hydroxy and methyl groups enlarges the dihedral angle between aromatic rings A/A' (B/B') by about 10°, compared to the substituted dianthracene **12.** The increased steric crowding due to the **"syn"** orientation of two methyl groups in dianthracene **15b** leads to structural dissymmetry, borne out by markedly different dihedral angles, **viz.** 49.7' and 58.4' between aromatic rings A/A' and B/B' , respectively.

Experimental Section

Crystallographic data for structures 4,6,7,10, and 12-15 have been accepted for publication in Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, and atomic coordinates are thus being deposited at Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Irradiations were carried out at $10-12$ °C (unless indicated otherwise) with a 125-W high-pressure mercury lamp (Philips HPK 125 W) in a water-cooled immersion well apparatus (Pyrex), equipped with a liquid-filter sleeve of about 1-cm path length. During the irradiations, a stream of argon was passed through the solution. Flash-column chromatographic separations were performed with a column of 30-mm diameter and column lengths of about 20-30 cm. Melting points were determined on a hotstage microscope and are uncorrected. 'H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on a Varian XL-400 instrument. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm downfield from Me₄Si as internal standard. The FAB mass spectrum of dianthracene 9 was obtained on a Magnet Sector Instrument VG ZAB HF, data system VG 11-250, using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol **as** matrix. Elemental analyes were carried out by Analytische Laboratorien, Box 1315, D-51753 Engelskirchen, Germany.

Synthesis of **9-(2-Hydroxy-2-propyl)anthracene** (7). Acetone (20 mL) was added by means of a syringe over a 5-min

period to an ice-cooled stirred suspension of 9-anthryllithium (20 mol; prepared by addition of 8 **mL** of a 2.5 M solution n-butyllithium to a solution of 5.14 g of 9-bromoanthracene in 200 mL of ether). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C and subsequently for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched by addition of aqueous ammonium chloride solution, and precipitated anthracene was removed **by** suction filtration. Flash column chromatography on silica gel/dichloromethane of the residue obtained on vacuum evaporation of solvent gave 1.9 g (40%) of 7 **as** pale greenish-yellow crystals, mp (after recrystallization from dichloromethane/hexane) 138-140 OC (lit.'Bmp 136 OC). 'H **NMR:** 2.08 **(e,** OH); 2.16 **(a,** 6); 7.3-7.45 (m, 4); 7.95 ("d", $J = 8$ Hz, 2); 8.32 (s, H-10); 8.77 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, **2).**

Photochemical Dimerization of 9-MethoxyanthraceneTo Give 8 and 9. A solution of 4 (625 mg; 3 mmol) in ether (85 mL) was irradiated through Pyrex at about 5° C for 1 h. The formation of a colorless precipitate became noticeable within the first 3 min of irradiation. Rotary vacuum evaporation of solvent from the suspension at room temperature gave a white microcrystalline residue. A sample checked by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃ revealed complete consumption of 4, and the formation of **8** and 9 in a ratio of 5545. There were no other products detectable. The residue was trituratedwithdichloromethane (40 **mL),** and suction filtration gave 320 mg of dianthracene 8 **as** an insoluble residue, mp 225-250 °C dec, depending on the rate of heating (lit.^{8a} mp \sim 275 °C). Flash chromatography (column length 20 cm) of the filtrate with dichloromethane eluted an additional 25 mg of **8** (together with trace amounts of 4, detectable by ita blue fluorescence). The total yield of **8** thus corresponds to 55%. Subsequent elution with ethyl acetate gave dianthracence 9, which migrates with the solvent front and frequently precipitates **as** needle-shaped crystals directly from the ethyl acetate solution. Vacuum evaporation of most of the solvent at room temperature leaves a suspension, which is diluted with little hexane. Suction filtration gives 9 **as** a white crystalline solid (240 mg; 38%). Its mp (dec) was found to range from 113 to 120 \degree C, depending on the rate of heating. In contrast to 8, dianthracene 9 is easily soluble in dichloromethane and can be recrystallized from saturated solutions by addition of either methanol or hexane. Typically, 9 formsneedle-shaped colorless, solvated crystals which lose enclosed solvent upon exposure to air at room temperature. Anal. Calcd for $C_{30}H_{24}O_2$: C, 86.51; H, 5.81. Found: C, 86.35; H, 5.95.

Photochemical Dimerization of 9-Methoxy- 10-methylanthracene (6) To Give 12. A solution of 6 (200 mg) in ether (120 mL) was irradiated through Pyrex for 4 h. (After about 1 h, 12 began precipitating from the solution.) Filtration gave 100 mg of colorless crystals of 12, which were recrystallized from boiling dichloromethane, mp 160-163 °C dec (lit.¹³ mp \sim 185 °C).

Photochemical Addition of 9-Methoxyanthracene to **9-Methoxy-10-methylanthracene.** A solution of 9-methoxyanthracene (520 mg; 2.5 mmol) and 9-methoxy-10-methylanthracene (555 mg; 2.5 mmol) in ether (175 mL) waa irradiated at 12 "C for 4 h through an aqueous filter solution of sodium nitrite (75 g/100 mL; \sim 1-cm path length; λ cut-off <400 nm). After about 1 h of irradiation, colorless crystals started precipitating. Vacuum evaporation of solvent at room temperature left a colorless solid residue which was triturated with about 50 mL of dichloromethane. Filtration left a residue of 260 mg of colorless material undiasolved, which by subsequent flash-chromatographic workup (SiO_2/CH_2Cl_2) of small portions of about 50 mg each was separated to give dianthracene 12 (about 40 mg; $R_f = 0.72$), mixed head-to-tail dimer 13, mp 140-145 °C dec (210 mg; $R_f = 0.63$), and small amounts (about 10 mg) of 8 $(R_f = 0.6)$. (The chromatographic separation of 8,12, and 13 is very tedious because of their very low solubility in dichloromethane.) Flash chromatography (silica gel/dichloromethane) of the original dichloromethane filtrate eluted a series of fractions $(R_f \ 0.7-0.6)$ consisting of unreacted anthracenes 4 and **6** (190mg; ether soluble) and dianthracene 13 (140 mg). Subsequent elution with ethyl acetate gave 400 mg of mixed head-to-head dimer 14, mp 130- 135 °C dec. Pertinent ¹H NMR data for 12-14 are listed in Table

⁽¹⁸⁾ Coudane, J.; MarBchal, E. C. *R.* **Sceances Acad.** *Sci.* **C 1978,286, 169-171.**

II. **Elemental analyses** were **as** follows. Dianthracene **13.** Anal. Calcd for CalHmOa: C, **86.48;** H, **6.09.** Found C, **86.08;** H, **6.23.** Dianthracene 14. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₂₆O₂: C, 86.48; H, 6.09. Found: C, 86.36; H, 6.26.

Photochemical Dimerization of 9-(2-Hydroxy-2-propyl)**anthracene To Give 1Sa and 16b.** *An* argon-purged solution of **7** *(50* **mg)** in dichloromethane **(180 mL)** was irradiated for **3** h. **During** the irradiation, a **stream** of argon was passed through the solution. **The** solid residue obtained after vacuum evaporation of solvent was **wded** with a little ether to give **35** mg of a colorless solid. TLC in conjunction with proton **'H NMR analysis** revealed the solid to consist of a mixture of the two isomers $15a$ $(R_f = 0.39)$ and $15b$ $(R_f = 0.31)$ in a ratio of approximately 6:4. Separation was accomplished by flash chromatography on silica **gel/** dichloromethane. **16a.** 1H **NMR. 1.95 (e, 3); 2.15** *(ti,* **OH); 2.36 (e, 3); 5.05 (e, 1** bridgehead H); **6.70-7.27** (m, **7), 8.02** (d, *J* = **8**

Hz; 1). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₃₂O₂: C, 86.41; H, 6.82. Found: **86.39;** H, **6.94. 1Sb.** 1H **NMR: 1.95 (s,3); 2.22 (1,** OH); **2.38 (3,** 8); **5.05** (8, **1** bridgehead H); **6.70-7.32** (m, **7); 7.89** ('d", *J* = **8** Hz, 1). Anal. Calcd for $C_{34}H_{32}O_2$: C, 86.41; H, 6.82. Found: 86.28; H, **6.92. Upon** heating, crystalline **1Sa** and **1Sb** turn opaque around 220 °C and begin melting around 265 °C. In both cases, a clear melt is formed around 300[°]C. For X-ray crystallographic purposes, **1Sa was** recrystallized from dichloromethane/toluene and **1Sb** was recrystallized from dichloromethane/hexane.

Acknowledgment. We are gratefully indebted to Dr. Gunnar Stenhagen, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Gothenburg, for recording the FAB MS spectrum **and** to Mr. Gunnar Svensson for technical assistance.